

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JACOB LOUNDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEBT MANAGEMENT PARTNERS,
LLC,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No.

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT

JACOB LOUNDER (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against DEBT MANAGEMENT PARTNERS, LLC (“Defendant”):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff’s Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”), 15 U.S.C. §1692 *et seq.* and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. §227 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before “any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy,” and 28 U.S.C. §1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

3. Defendant has a corporate office and regularly conducts business in the State of Texas, and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.

1 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 (b)(1) and (b)(2).

2 **PARTIES**

3 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Stafford, Texas 77477.

4 6. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).

5 7. Plaintiff is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).

6 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters
7 located at 6400 Sheridan Drive, Suite 100, Buffalo, New York 14221.

8 9. Defendant is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692 a(6),
9 and sought to collect a debt from Plaintiff.

10 10. Defendant is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).

11 11. Debt collection is the principal purpose of Defendant’s business.

12 12. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors,
13 heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

14 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

15 13. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number.

16 14. Plaintiff has solely used this number as a cellular telephone number.

17 15. Defendant placed repeated harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff on his cellular
18 telephone seeking to collect an alleged consumer debt.

19 16. The alleged debt arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal,
20 family or household purposes.

21 17. Defendant called Plaintiff on his cellular telephone utilizing an automatic
22 telephone dialing system and/or pre-recorded voice or message.
23
24
25

1 18. Plaintiff knew that Defendant's calls were automated calls as he was often met
2 by a noticeable pause or delay with no caller on the line prior to one of Defendant's
3 representatives coming on the phone.

4 19. Defendant's calls were not made for "emergency purposes."

5 20. Shortly after the calls started, Plaintiff told Defendant to stop calling.

6 21. Defendant heard and acknowledged Plaintiff's request to stop calling, but
7 nonetheless continued to call his repeatedly.

8 22. Once Defendant was informed that its calls were unwanted and to stop calling,
9 there was no lawful purpose to making further calls, nor was there any good faith reason to
10 place calls.

11 23. Further, any continued calls could only have been placed for the purpose of
12 harassing Plaintiff.

13 24. During these calls Defendant threatened to take legal action.

14 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not intend to take legal action and
15 made this threat to coerce payment from Plaintiff.

16 26. Defendant also contacted members of Plaintiff's family and disclosed details
17 about the debt that he allegedly owed.

18 27. Plaintiff did not consent to Defendant contacting members of his family to
19 discuss the alleged debt.

20 28. Plaintiff found Defendant's conduct to be invasive, harassing, intrusive and
21 upsetting.

22 29. Defendant's actions as described herein were taken with the intent to harass,
23 upset and coerce Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
24
25

COUNT I
DEFENDANT VIOLATED §§ 1692d and 1692d(5) OF THE FDCPA

30. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

31. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person, in connection with the collection of a debt.

32. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass any person at the called number.

33. Defendant violated both sections of the FDCPA by placing repeated harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff and continuing to call knowing its calls were unwanted.

COUNT II
DEFENDANT VIOLATED §§ 1692e, 1692e(5) AND 1692e(10) OF THE FDCPA

34. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

35. A debt collector violates § 1692e by using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.

36. A debt collector violates § 1692e(5) by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.

37. A debt collector violates § 1692e(10) by use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a

1 consumer.

2 38. Defendant violated §§ 1692e, 1692e(5) and 1692e(10) when it threatened to take
3 legal action against Plaintiff without the intent to take such action.

4
5 **COUNT III**
6 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED § 1692c(b) OF THE FDCPA**

7 39. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth
8 at length herein.

9 40. A debt collector violates § 1692c(b) when without the prior consent of the
10 consumer given directly to the debt collector, or the express permission of a court of competent
11 jurisdiction, or as reasonably necessary to effectuate a postjudgment judicial remedy, it
12 communicates, in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the
13 consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor,
14 the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector.

15 41. Defendant violated § 1692c(b) when it communicated with members of
16 Plaintiff's family regarding the alleged debt without Plaintiff's consent.

17
18 **COUNT IV**
19 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TCPA**

20 42. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth
21 at length herein.

22 43. Defendant initiated automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone
23 dialing system.

24 44. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for "emergency purposes."
25

1 45. After Defendant was told to stop calling, Defendant knew or should have known
2 it did not have consent to call and that any consent it may or may not have thought it had was
3 revoked, yet the Defendant continued to place autodialed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephones.

4 46. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional,
5 willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the
6 purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

7 47. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully,
8 intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal
9 defense, legal justification or legal excuse.

10 48. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses
11 and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and treble
12 damages.
13

14
15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JACOB LOUNDER, respectfully prays for judgment as
16 follows:

- 17 a. All actual damages suffered by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
18 §1692 (k)(a)(1);
- 19 b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA
20 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692 (k)(a)(2)(A);
- 21 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation
22 expenses incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693 (k)(a)(3);
- 23 d. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);
24
25

- 1 e. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
2 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
3 f. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
4 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);
5 g. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3); and
6 h. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
7
8

9 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

10 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JACOB LOUNDER, demands a jury trial in
11 this case.
12

13
14 Respectfully submitted,

15
16 Dated: May 26, 2020

17 By: /s/ Amy L. Bennecoff Ginsburg
18 Amy L. Bennecoff Ginsburg, Esq.
19 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
20 30 East Butler Pike
21 Ambler, PA 19002
22 Phone: (215) 540-8888
23 Facsimile: (877) 788-2864
24 Email: aginsburg@creditlaw.com
25